

Contractor's Construction Process Evaluation

Contract Number	Contract Title						
Type of Work				Contract Amount			
Contractor		Project Engineer		Region			
A. Plans and Specifications	Poor (1)	Marginal (2)	Satisfactory (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)		
1. Accuracy							
2. Completeness							
3. Clarity							
4. Organization							
5. Match with Field Conditions							
6. Comments and Examples							
7. Suggestions for Improving the Plans and Specifications							
Suggestions for Improving Methods of Measurement and Payment							
B. Management and Administratio	n Poor (1)	Marginal (2)	Satisfactory (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)		
Coordination and Cooperation							
2. Anticipation of Problems							
3. Timely Decision-Making							
4. Availability of Project Engineer							
5. Willingness to Resolve Difficult Issues							
6. Accuracy of Progress Payments							
7. Timeliness of Progress Payments							
8. Comments and Examples							
Suggestions for Improvement							
C. Inspection and Surveying	Poor (1)	Marginal (2)	Satisfactory (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)		
1. Effectiveness of On-Site Supervisi	on						
2. Knowledge and Training of Inspec	tors						
3. Objectivity and Fairness of Inspectors							
4. Timeliness of Inspection and Surveying							
5. Accuracy and Sufficiency of Surveying							
Timeliness of Sampling and Test Results							
7. Accuracy of Sampling and Testing							
8. Attitude Toward Public Safety and Traffic Control							
9. Comments							

D. Approvals (Please check whether approvals of the following were a problem on this project)		
1. Change Orders	Yes	No
2. Shop Drawings	Yes	No
3. Falsework, Formwork, and Shoring Drawings	Yes	No
4. Material Sources	Yes	No
5. Preliminary Material Samples	Yes	No
6. Mix Designs	Yes	No
7. Progress Scheduling	Yes	No
8. Traffic Control Plans	Yes	No
9. Description of Problems Indicated Above		
10. Suggestions for Modifying Approval Processes		
10. Edggestone for mounting approvar a routesto		
E. Constructibility (Please check whether approvals of the following were a problem on this project)		
Time Restrictions	Yes	No
2. Weather Limitations	Yes	No
3. Traffic Restrictions	Yes	No
4. Size or Length of Project	Yes	No
5. Order or Staging of Work	Yes	No
6. Availability and Accessibility of Staging Areas	Yes	No
7. Utility and Other Third Party Involvement	Yes	No
8. Description of Problems Indicated Above	169	INU
o. Description of Fredholis indicated Apove		
O Madifications that the Ctate could have read a miles to contract that would be considered in circles.		
9. Modifications that the State could have made prior to contract that would have resulted in significant cost savings		